Beyond mean-field bistability in driven-dissipative lattices: Bunching-antibunching transition and quantum simulation
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In the present work we investigate the existence of multiple nonequilibrium steady states in a coherently driven $XY$ lattice of dissipative two-level systems. A commonly used mean-field ansatz, in which spatial correlations are neglected, predicts a bistable behavior with a sharp shift between low- and high-density states. In contrast, one-dimensional matrix product methods reveal these effects to be artifacts of the mean-field approach, with both disappearing once correlations are taken fully into account. Instead, a bunching-antibunching transition emerges. This indicates that alternative approaches should be considered for higher spatial dimensions, where classical simulations are currently infeasible. Thus we propose a circuit QED quantum simulator implementable with current technology to enable an experimental investigation of the model considered.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nonequilibrium steady states (NESSs) of driven-dissipative many-body quantum systems are of increasing interest, both theoretically and experimentally, due to their potentially strong response to external changes in technologically relevant contexts. For example, intense research has been recently performed on quantum transport through nanoscale systems driven at their boundaries. For molecular or quantum dot junctions, predictions of correlation-induced current oscillations and current-voltage bistability have been made [1–3], while for spin chains sharp changes in magnetic conductance are expected due to a nonequilibrium phase transition [4–8]. Other interesting examples include recent studies of remnants of equilibrium phase transitions in dissipative settings [9–14], repulsively induced photon superbunching [15], and potential supersolid phases in driven resonator arrays [16]. A rigorous study of these open nonequilibrium quantum systems is very challenging, particularly in high dimensions, given the exponential growth of the associated Hilbert space, which prohibits direct classical simulation. So a natural question is whether their physics can be correctly determined from approximate schemes with reasonable computational cost.

A frequently used method of describing interacting quantum lattice systems is to employ a mean-field product ansatz, in which spatial correlations are neglected. In equilibrium this approach can often yield qualitatively correct features such as the presence of phase transitions, although it can fail to correctly identify the exact location and critical exponents, especially in low dimensions [17,18]. For nonequilibrium systems the situation is quite different. Even though mean-field calculations offer a first step to help uncover the intricate dynamics taking place and have been used in several recent studies of driven-dissipative models [9–12,19–28], it is not clear that they can provide even a qualitatively correct physical description. Furthermore, reasoning based on the Ginzburg criterion, according to which equilibrium critical phenomena are correctly described by mean-field theory above a critical spatial dimension [17], cannot be relied upon in nonequilibrium settings. This has motivated the recent development of several new methods to analyze driven-dissipative models, namely, the self-consistent Mori projector technique [29], a variational minimization calculation [30–32], a corner-space renormalization [33], and algorithms based on matrix product operators (MPOs) for one-dimensional lattices [34,35].

A notable effect predicted by mean-field descriptions of driven-dissipative models is that of bistability [19–26]. Here the existence of two distinct NESSs is observed in a particular parameter regime, with the actual state obtained depending on the history of the system. However, it is usually expected for systems described by a Lindblad master equation [36,37], such as those featuring mean-field bistability [20–26], to have a unique NESS [29,38]. This raises the question of whether this bistability is physical or an artifact of the mean-field approximation, originating from the effective nonlinearity introduced by self-consistently factorizing the long-range correlations. In fact, recent studies have found that the bistability is washed out when correlations are taken into account [29–31,39]. The impact of long-range correlations, however, is still not clear [40], and interesting effects that might emerge are yet to be uncovered.

Since bistability is a basic and ubiquitous feature of driven nonlinear systems [41], in this paper we examine in detail whether multiple NESSs exist in a dissipative coherently driven quantum lattice system. In particular, we contrast predictions from mean-field analysis with results from tensor network theory (TNT) methods in one spatial dimension [42,43], in which states are described by a matrix product ansatz. These calculations show that as long-range
correlations are progressively handled more exactly, a single NESS emerges. In its place a bunching-antibunching transition is found. This points to major qualitative errors in describing driven-dissipative interacting systems if these correlations are neglected. Also, given the formidable challenge to classically simulate such open quantum lattices, even using sophisticated TNT methods [44–52], obtaining sound insight into the physics of these systems without uncontrolled approximations necessitates experimental realization and verification. Thus we also discuss how a quantum simulation of the model considered here in higher spatial dimensions could be imple-
mented using current circuit quantum electrodynamics (QED) technology. This would also allow experimentalists to confirm our predictions for one-dimensional (1D) systems.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we describe the driven-dissipative model to be considered. In Sec. III we show the bistable behavior resulting from mean-field calculations of the NESS. In Sec. IV we discuss the impact of correlations in one-dimensional lattices, namely, the breaking of the bistability and the emergence of a bunching-antibunching transition. In Sec. V we describe a possible experimental implementation based on transmon qubits in circuit QED. Finally, we present our conclusions in Sec. VI.

II. DRIVEN-DISSIPATIVE LATTICE MODEL

In this work we restrict our attention to a concrete minimal model which nevertheless possesses features common to more complex quantum lattice models. Specifically, we consider a lattice of two-level systems (TLSs), each one with an upper level |1⟩ and a lower level |0⟩, featuring coherent hopping linking adjacent sites, bulk coherent driving, and incoherent loss processes. The model is schematically shown in Fig. 1.

The TLSs are described by Pauli transition matrices $\sigma_j^X$ and an external driving field detuned by $\Delta$ from the TLS resonance. In a frame rotating with the driving field the Hamiltonian is ($\hbar = 1$)

$$H = \sum_j [\Delta \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^- + \Omega (\sigma_j^+ + \sigma_j^-)] - J \sum_{(j,j')} \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^-.$$ (1)

Here $J$ is the coherent tunneling amplitude between neighboring TLSs, and $\Omega$ is the Rabi frequency of the driving field. The index $j$ runs over the discrete lattice sites, and $(j,j')$ denotes the set of nearest neighbors. Since the hopping term can be rewritten as a coupling of $XY$ type, this is known as the $XY$ Hamiltonian.

![FIG. 1. A schematic of the generalized $XY$ model showing three adjacent sites of a one-dimensional chain. Each site $j$ contains a TLS which is coherently coupled to its $z$ (=2 for 1D) nearest neighbors with amplitude $J$. Circular red arrows represent coherent driving $\Omega$, while the dashed vertical lines depict the dissipation $\gamma$.](image)

Finally, we incorporate a generic local loss term $\gamma$ which acts to incoherently deexcite the upper level $|1\rangle$ of each TLS to its lower level $|0\rangle$. The evolution of the total system density matrix $\rho$ is then described by a quantum master equation $\dot{\rho} = \mathcal{L}[\rho]$ in Lindblad form, where

$$\mathcal{L}[\rho] = \frac{1}{\tau} [H, \rho] + \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_j (2\sigma_j^- \rho \sigma_j^+ - \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^- \rho - \rho \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^-).$$ (2)

A NESS $\rho_{NESS}$ of the system satisfies $\mathcal{L}[\rho_{NESS}] = 0$, and all observables $O$ are measured with respect to this state, so $\langle O \rangle \equiv \text{Tr}(O\rho_{NESS})$. We also note that our calculations are performed with open boundary conditions. Thus the system does not satisfy translational invariance but is symmetric with respect to its center [53]. In addition, we have verified that our results remain essentially unchanged when considering system sizes larger than those used in the calculations discussed in this paper.

III. MEAN-FIELD APPROACH

We start by discussing the physics of the driven-dissipative system resulting from a single-site mean-field analysis. This can be done by means of two different methods, namely, by obtaining the NESS of the system through a simulation of the mean-field master equation and by performing a Monte Carlo wave-function calculation [36,37,54,55] in the mean-field approximation. We now show that both methods indicate the existence of bistable behavior for one- and two-dimensional lattices.

A. Product density matrix solution

Initially, we assume that for every time $t$, the density matrix of a driven-dissipative $XY$ lattice of $N$ sites can be factorized in the form

$$\rho(t) = \bigotimes_{j=1}^N \rho_j(t).$$ (3)

This mean-field ansatz captures the local physics but neglects all classical and quantum intersite correlations. When inserting this approximation into the master equation (2), as described in Appendix A, we obtain that the coherent dynamics of each TLS is governed by an effective mean-field (mf) local Hamiltonian. For site $j$ this is given by

$$H_{mf}^j = \Delta \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^- + \Omega_j \sigma_j^+ + \Omega_j^* \sigma_j^-,$$ (4)

where the nearest-neighbor hopping is effectively taken into account by modified site-dependent coherent driving amplitudes

$$\Omega_j = \Omega - J \sum_{j'} \langle \sigma_{j'}^- \rangle,$$ (5)

with the sums performed over the sites $j'$ coupled to site $j$. Thus the equation of motion of each TLS [see Eqs. (A6) and (A7)] becomes dependent on expectation values of neighboring sites, leading to nonlinear dynamics. The corresponding NESS is obtained by performing the time evolution for a particular initial state $\rho(0)$ in the long-time limit, until convergence is reached.
It is usually expected that in the absence of very particular symmetries [56], an open system governed by a Lindblad master equation such as Eq. (2) relaxes to a unique NESS, independent of the initial state [6,29,38]. However, as reported in previous mean-field studies of driven-dissipative models [20–26], a bistable behavior emerges in certain parameter regimes, corresponding to the existence of two different stable NESSs. Whether the systems relax to one or the other NESS depends on which domain of attraction the initial condition lies in.

To verify whether the system under consideration features a bistable behavior, we proceed as follows. First, for a one-dimensional lattice with fixed values of $J$, $\Omega$, and $\gamma$, we take a detuning value $\Delta_0$ such that $\Delta_0/\gamma \gg 1$ and obtain its NESS for different random initial states. After verifying that such a NESS is unique, we use it as the initial state for the calculations of detuning values $\Delta < \Delta_0$, sweeping from higher to lower values of $\Delta$; this is the right to left (R-L) sweep. Subsequently, we perform a similar sweep process but in the opposite direction. Thus we take a new detuning $\Delta_0/\gamma < 0$ whose unique NESS serves as the initial state for simulations of values of $\Delta > \Delta_0$; this is the left to right (L-R) sweep. A bistable zone is manifested as a parameter region where the solutions of the two sweeps are different, i.e., a hysteresis region.

We first discuss the results for the R-L sweep. In Fig. 2(a) we show the corresponding local densities $\langle n_j \rangle = \langle \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^- \rangle$ for all sites $j$ and different values of $\Delta/\gamma$. Here we can already observe two qualitatively different types of NESS. For $\Delta/\gamma > (\Delta/\gamma)_c$, the bulk of the lattice is in a low-density state, which, as depicted in Fig. 2(b), shows density oscillations $\delta n_j$ that decay exponentially towards the bulk density average $\bar{n}$, in the form

$$\delta n_j \equiv n_j - \bar{n} = A e^{-j/r} \sin(kj + \phi).$$

Notably, as shown in Fig. 3(a) for the central site (i.e., for site $j = [N/2]$, with density $\langle n_j \rangle$), the shift from the low- to the high-density NESS taking place at the critical value $(\Delta/\gamma)_c$ is very sharp.

For the L-R sweep similar results are obtained, with an important difference. Namely, as shown in Fig. 3(a), a different critical value for the sharp shift between the low- and high-density regimes is found, $(\Delta/\gamma)_c \approx 0.40$. Thus the mean-field treatment of the driven-dissipative model suggests the existence of bistable behavior. In Fig. 3(b) we depict the bistability zones for a wider parameter regime, i.e., for different driving amplitudes $\Omega/\gamma$ in the $(\Delta/\gamma, J/\gamma)$ plane. These zones...
have trianglelike shapes and become broader and shift to lower \( \Delta/\gamma \) for larger values of \( \Omega \).

Similar physics is obtained for two-dimensional (2D) lattices. As shown in Fig. 4(a), the density profiles \( \langle n_{j,k} \rangle = \langle \sigma^+_{j,k} \sigma^-_{j,k} \rangle \) for all pairs of sites \((j,k)\) indicate the existence of two distinct nonequilibrium phases of the driven-dissipative model: a high-density NESS with a flat profile and a low-density NESS with decaying oscillations towards the center of the lattice. In addition, as depicted in Fig. 4(b), the location of the sharp shift between both types of states depends on the direction of the parameter sweep, indicating bistable behavior.

**B. Monte Carlo wave-function approach**

An alternative way to study an open quantum system described by a Lindblad master equation of the form (2) corresponds to a Monte Carlo-type calculation. Here instead of time evolving the density operator of the lattice, the evolution of several independent realizations (or trajectories) of the system is performed, each described by a pure state. Due to the dissipative processes from the environmental coupling, the evolution in each trajectory is governed by a modified Hamiltonian, and at random times quantum jumps describing such a coupling are applied to the lattice. Finally, expectation values are obtained by performing averages over the sample of simulated trajectories. This technique is well known in the quantum optics community and is described in detail in several works (e.g., see [36,37,54,55,57]).

To perform a mean-field Monte Carlo wave-function calculation, we simply assume that at every time the pure state of each realization is a product. Namely, for trajectory \( r \) the state for a lattice of \( N \) sites is

\[
|\Psi^{(r)}(t)\rangle = |\psi_1^{(r)}(t)\rangle \otimes |\psi_2^{(r)}(t)\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi_N^{(r)}(t)\rangle.
\]

First, we take a random product of the latter form as the initial state of each trajectory. Then we perform the time evolution as described in Appendix B for long-enough times to obtain the NESS of the system. In our particular case, we evolved for a total time of \( T = 200/\gamma \), with a time step \( \delta t = 2 \times 10^{-3} \). Finally, we obtain the NESS expectation values of interest by performing an average over a sample of \( N_{\text{traj}} = 1000 \) trajectories. To further smoothen the results, we also average over the final 30% of time steps.

The resulting distribution of time-averaged populations \( \langle n_r \rangle \) for a central site is depicted in Fig. 5 for both one- and two-dimensional lattices and the parameters of Figs. 3(a) and 4(b). In addition, we show on top the average value over all trajectories (black solid lines). We observe in both cases that close to the L-R shift of the product density matrix solution, the distributions are centered around two distinct population values for the same detuning \( \Delta/\gamma \). Thus the mean-field trajectory simulations also indicate the existence of bistability in the driven-dissipative model.

Note, however, that the bistable regimes obtained from the product-state Monte Carlo approach are notably more
narrow than those shown in Sec. III A. In particular, for the two-dimensional case the hysteresis zone has collapsed into a very narrow $\Delta/\gamma$ regime, located at the L-R shift of the product density matrix solution. This is because the methods, although corresponding to a mean-field approximation, are not equivalent. In particular, each individual trajectory $|\Psi^{(t)}(t)|$ leads to a contribution $|\Psi^{(t)}(t)|\langle \Psi^{(t)}(t)|$ to the density matrix of the system, which does not have any classical or quantum correlations. However, by averaging over all $N_{\text{traj}}$ trajectories and $N_{\ell}$ contributions at times $t_i$ from each trajectory, we are formally describing the NESS of the system by the density matrix of the form

$$\rho \propto \sum_{r,\ell} |\Psi^{(t)}(t_\ell)|\langle \Psi^{(t)}(t_\ell)|,$$

which does not have a product form as in Eq. (3). Thus in the product density matrix approach all spatial correlations are neglected, while the mean-field trajectory approach fully discards entanglement while retaining other types of correlations \[58\]. As seen in Fig. 5, this already has a strong impact on the NESS of the driven-dissipative model. It is then natural to ask whether considering more correlations might eventually suppress the bistable response completely. This question is addressed in Sec. IV by including long-range correlations in the description of the system.

### IV. MATRIX PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

After analyzing the bistability featured by the mean-field driven-dissipative $XY$ model, we wish to determine whether such a behavior is maintained when spatial quantum correlations are taken into account or if it is an artifact of the nonlinearity induced by the mean-field description. Furthermore, we expect to observe whether other interesting effects emerge due to these correlations.

To assess the effect of retaining correlations, we employ an MPO description \[42\]-\[44\] of the NESS $\rho$ for one-dimensional systems. This approach gives an approximate way to account for quantum and classical correlations in the NESS. Intuitively, the parameter $\chi$ controlling the size of the MPO matrices gives a measure of the intersite correlations, of either classical or quantum origin, so highly correlated states require a larger $\chi$ for an accurate description. In the extreme case $\chi = 1$ the MPO reduces to the mean-field product ansatz of Eq. (3). By solving the NESS with increasing $\chi$ we connect the mean-field approximation with the formally exact but unobtainable limit $\chi \to \infty$. For each $\chi$ considered, the corresponding MPO density matrix is efficiently evolved in time under Eq. (2) using the time-evolving block decimation algorithm \[42\]-\[44\], where the NESS is obtained by taking the large time limit. We also perform Monte Carlo wave-function simulations, where each quantum trajectory is calculated within a matrix product state (MPS) description \[45\],\[46\]. Our implementation of both these methods is based on the open-source Tensor Network Theory (TNT) library \[47\].

#### A. Disappearance of mean-field bistability

First, we observe what happens to the bistable behavior when increasing the value of $\chi$ in the MPO description of the NESS. As shown in Fig. 6(a) for the central density $\langle n_c \rangle$, a significant change occurs with respect to the mean-field results when taking $\chi = 5$, where just a small amount of correlation is retained across the system. Even though the bistability is still present, it shifts towards larger values of $\Delta/\gamma$, and the high-density regime is notably lower than its mean-field counterpart. Also, the bistability extends over a wider range of $\Delta/\gamma$ values, which might initially suggest that the bistable behavior is strengthened by correlations. However, taking larger values of $\chi$ shows that this is not the case. In fact, for $\chi = 11$ we find that the L-R and R-L sweeps give identical NESSs, so the bistable behavior has already disappeared. In addition, the shift from the low- to the high-density regime is no longer sharp \[59\]. Further increases of $\chi$ improve the NESS, smoothening the shift between the two density regimes. Finally, from $\chi \approx 50$ the NESS remains essentially unchanged with increasing $\chi$. This is indicated in Fig. 6(a) for the central density and also for the density profiles in Fig. 6(b), where the results for $\chi = 50, 100, 200$ coincide. A similarity to the mean-field limit remains, however, which can be seen when comparing Fig. 2(a) with Fig. 6(b). Namely, the high-density regimes also have flat profiles, while the low-density case

![Fig. 6. (a) Central site density $\langle n_c \rangle$ as a function of $\Delta/\gamma$ for different scenarios, namely, L-R and R-L sweeps for the MPO approach with $\chi = 1$ (i.e., mean field) and $\chi = 5$, a single sweep for $\chi = 11, 50, 100, 200$ (where the latter three coincide), and a trajectory simulation for $\chi = 50$. For the latter, a time average was performed over the final 80% of the total time of evolution, for which convergence was verified. The results correspond to $J/\gamma = 2$, $\Omega/\gamma = 1$, and $N = 61$. (b) Density profiles for MPOs with $\chi = 200$ for the same values of $\Delta/\gamma$ of Fig. 2(a).](image-url)
shows density oscillations that decay when approaching the center of the lattice.

To provide more support to our results, we also obtain the NESS properties of the system from a Monte Carlo wave-function approach. In this case we represent the wave function of each independent trajectory by a MPS with maximum matrix size $\hat{\chi}$ limiting the corresponding amount of quantum entanglement. For each value of $\Delta/\gamma$ considered we simulated at least ten trajectories. To smoothen the results we also averaged over several hundreds of time steps, resulting in an effective average over thousands of trajectories. As shown in Fig. 6(a), densities for $\hat{\chi} = 50$ already agree with those of $\chi \geq 50$ for a MPO description of the density matrix and thus further confirm that the bistability is broken when enough correlations are taken into account.

In summary, we have shown that the physics of the driven-dissipative system obtained from mean-field theory is qualitatively wrong, with the bistability being an artifact of the nonlinearity induced by the ansatz of Eq. (3). When a MPO or a quantum trajectory MPS description of the NESS are used with large values of $\chi$ and the calculation becomes formally closer to the exact result, the bistability and sharp shift between low- and high-density regimes are washed out by correlations.

B. Correlations and bunching-antibunching transition

Now we show that a new interesting property, not captured by mean-field approaches, emerges in the driven-dissipative system when correlations are taken into account. For this we consider the normalized correlations [60]

$$C(j,r) = \frac{\langle \sigma^z_j \sigma^z_{j+r} \rangle}{\langle \sigma^z_j \rangle \langle \sigma^z_{j+r} \rangle},$$

which tend to 1 in the mean-field limit. In Fig. 7(a) we show the correlations around the center of the system (i.e., for site $j = \lfloor N/2 \rfloor$), simply denoted as $C(r)$, for $r = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $\chi = 200$ as a function of $\Delta/\gamma$. We have verified that the same results are obtained for correlations centered around any other site in the bulk of the chain.

First note that, as expected, the normalized correlations tend to the mean-field limit as $\Delta$ becomes the dominant energy scale in the system. Additionally, for $r > 1$, they always remain above unity and decrease monotonically as $r$ increases. However, the most important observation corresponds to the correlations $C(1)$, which as depicted in Fig. 7(a) cross unity at $\Delta/\gamma = 0.91$. This indicates a transition from a regime in which neighboring excitations tend to cluster together ($\Delta/\gamma < 0.91$) to a configuration where the existence of such clusters is disfavored and excitations tend to spread out ($\Delta/\gamma > 0.91$). In other words, our simulations show that the system features a bunching-antibunching transition. As $\Delta/\gamma$ increases $C(1)$ continues decreasing until $\Delta/\gamma = 1.94$, where it reaches its minimum value. Then $C(1)$ grows again towards the mean-field limit, as $\Delta/\gamma$ becomes very large.

Finally, we calculate an alternative quantity which measures the amount of both classical and quantum correlations, namely, the entropy [6,7]

$$S = - \sum_a \lambda^2_a \log_2 \lambda^2_a.$$ (10)

FIG. 7. (a) Two-site correlations $C(r)$ from the central site of the system and $r = 1, 2, 3, 4$ as a function of $\Delta/\gamma$ for $J/\gamma = 2$, $\Omega/\gamma = 1$, $N = 61$, and $\chi = 200$. (b) Entropy $S$ and first derivative of the nearest-neighbor normalized correlation $C(1)$ with respect to $\Delta/\gamma$. The locations of the maximum $S$ and minimum $\delta C(1)$ were obtained by fitting the surrounding hill and valley to quadratic functions. In both panels the vertical line indicates the point of fastest decay of correlations $C(1)$.

and normalized so the first coefficient is $\lambda_1 = 1$. Note that for the mean-field product density matrix $S = 0$. In Fig. 7(b) we show the entropy, together with the first derivative of the nearest-neighbor normalized correlation $C(1)$ with respect to $\Delta/\gamma$, denoted as $\delta C(1)$. The maximal entropy occurs at $\Delta/\gamma = 1.19$, which is very close to the point of fastest decay of $C(1)$, namely, at $\Delta/\gamma = 1.15$. This correspondence between maximal entropy and fastest immersion within the antibunching phase indicates that the transition is indeed a strong-correlation effect.

V. PHYSICAL IMPLEMENTATION IN CIRCUIT QED

We have shown that in 1D the bistability observed in a mean-field description of the driven-dissipative $XY$ model is broken when enough correlations are taken into account. This result agrees with previous calculations based on TNT methods and on a recently proposed technique which introduces correlations among neighboring sites of the lattice [29]. In addition, we have shown that a bunching-antibunching transition emerges instead. However, the physics in higher dimensions is not fully understood. First, unlike equilibrium
systems, there is no reason to believe that mean-field theory will be more accurate despite the higher coordination number. Second, in spite of important recent developments in TNT methods for studying two-dimensional quantum lattices [48–50,61–63], classical simulations of sufficiently large driven open quantum systems to meaningfully compare results to mean-field theory are currently out of reach. Our mean-field Monte Carlo calculations in 2D driven-dissipative lattices already indicate a large impact of the retained correlations on the bistable behavior. Moreover, the methods of Refs. [29,30] indicate that beyond mean field, correlations among neighbors break the bistability in 2D; however, determining the impact of long-range correlations is beyond their capabilities. Thus, gaining key insight into driven-dissipative quantum systems by determining their behavior in higher dimensions is a compelling reason to instead develop a quantum simulator [64–66]. In addition, this would provide an experimental setup to confirm the one-dimensional effects discussed in Sec. IV and to explore other interesting effects found in correlated driven-dissipative models such as dynamic hysteresis [67]. In the following we propose a platform for this quantum simulator, accessible using current technology.

A. Circuit QED with transmon qubits

Circuit QED [68–70], which involves the interaction between on-chip coplanar waveguides resonators (CWR) and superconducting qubits made of Josephson junctions, represents a prime candidate to simulating many-body physics [71–76]. Furthermore, recent experimental achievements may pave the way to the implementation of complex and scalable arrays of superconducting circuits [77]. In this sense, one could implement driven-dissipative many-body dynamics by means of an array of several transmon qubits [78] coupled to CWR, as depicted in Fig. 8(a). Here, each coplanar waveguide resonator or cavity (blue or red, horizontally oriented) interacts via electrostatic energy with two transmon qubits, and there is no direct transmon-transmon interaction. In addition, each transmon is coupled to an additional cavity (green, vertically oriented) which is used to manipulate the qubit state via classical microwaves, as well as qubit readout. In what follows, we present the basic tools to simulating the ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic XY model.

First, each CWR represents an extended superconducting device which supports a discrete number of electromagnetic modes determined by specific boundary conditions. In our case, we need open boundary conditions such that the voltage distribution at the cavity edges is a maximum. The quantization of an extended cavity can be found elsewhere [79], so we will present the main results. The CWR can be described by the voltage distribution

\[ V(x,t) = i \sum_n \left( \frac{\hbar \omega_n}{2 C_r} \right)^{1/2} (a_n^\dagger - a_n) u_n(x), \]

where \( a_n \) (\( a_n^\dagger \)) is the annihilation (creation) bosonic operator, \( \omega_n \) is the n-th cavity frequency, and \( C_r \) is the total capacitance of the cavity. The eigenfunction \( u_n(x) = A_n \cos(k_n x) \) takes into account the spatial distribution of the cavity with wave vectors defined by \( k_n = n \pi / L \) (\( n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \)), and \( L \) is the cavity length. The spatial distributions for the first \( (n = 1) \) and second \( (n = 2) \) cavity modes are shown in Figs. 8(b) and 8(c), respectively.

Second, the electrostatic interaction between a transmon and a coplanar waveguide resonator reads [78]

\[ H_{\text{int}} = 2e \beta \hat{n} V(x,t), \]

where \( e \) is the electron charge, \( \beta \) is a dimensionless parameter, and \( \hat{n} \) is the Cooper-pair number in the superconducting island which defines the transmon device. Because of the slight anharmonicity of the transmon spectrum [78], we can control and define a TLS or qubit interacting with a single mode of the electromagnetic field via the Jaynes-Cummings interaction

\[ H = \omega_0 \sigma^+ \sigma^- + \omega a^\dagger a + g (\sigma^a + \sigma^- a^\dagger). \]

Notice that the sign of the qubit-cavity coupling strength \( g \) depends on the position of the transmon along the cavity and the specific mode that we choose to work with [see Figs. 8(b) and 8(c)]. This is our starting point for the simulation of the ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic XY model in circuit QED.

B. Quantum simulation of the XY model

Let us consider the situation depicted in Fig. 8(a), where we assume identical transmon qubits with energy \( \omega_0 \) and cavities with frequencies such that \( \omega_j = \omega_{j+2} \) and \( \omega_j \neq \omega_{j+1} \). The above condition can be satisfied for cavities with different lengths, as represented in our scheme with horizontal blue and red cavities. In addition, we consider that each qubit is manipulated by a classical microwave of amplitude \( \Omega \) and driving frequency \( \omega_0 L \). In this case, the Hamiltonian that
describes the quantum dynamics is
\[
H = \omega_L \sum_j \sigma^+_j \sigma^-_j + \sum_j \omega_j a^\dagger_j a_j \\
+ \Omega \sum_j (\sigma^+_j e^{-i\omega_j t} + \sigma^-_j e^{i\omega_j t}) \\
+ \sum_j [g_{j+1}(\sigma^+_j a_{j+1} + \sigma^-_j a^\dagger_{j+1}) \mp g_j(\sigma^+_j a_j + \sigma^-_j a^\dagger_j)],
\]
(15)
where the coupling strengths satisfy the conditions \(g_j = g_{j+2}\) and \(g_j \neq g_{j+1}\). The qubits are described by Pauli transition matrices \(\sigma^\pm_j\), and the bosonic fields are described by creation and annihilation operators \(a^\dagger_j, a_j\). The minus and plus signs that appear in the qubit-cavity interaction come from the choice of the first and second mode of each cavity, respectively. As we show below, they result in the simulation of ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic XY models, respectively.

The XY model can be implemented if we consider the dispersive regime such that virtual photons provide the direct qubit-qubit coupling. In a reference frame rotating with the driving frequency \(\omega_L\), the interaction Hamiltonian reads
\[
H_I(t) = \Delta_c \sum_j \sigma^+_j \sigma^-_j - \sum_j \Delta_j a^\dagger_j a_j + \Omega \sum_j (\sigma^+_j + \sigma^-_j) \\
+ \sum_j [g_{j+1}(\sigma^+_j a_{j+1} + \sigma^-_j a^\dagger_{j+1})] \\
\mp g_j(\sigma^+_j a_j + \sigma^-_j a^\dagger_j),
\]
(16)
where we define the detunings \(\Delta_c = \omega_c - \omega_L\) and \(\Delta_j = \omega_j - \omega_L\), the latter satisfying the conditions \(\Delta_j = \Delta_{j+2}, \Delta_j \neq \Delta_{j+1}\) according to the previous definition of frequencies. One can access the dispersive regime if the condition \(|\Delta_j| \gg |g_j, \Delta_c|\) is satisfied. For example, consider an array of three transmon qubits and four cavities. In this case, the effective second-order Hamiltonian is
\[
H_{\text{eff}} = \left(\Delta_c + \frac{g_1^2}{\Delta_1} + \frac{g_2^2}{\Delta_2}\right) \sum_j \sigma^+_j \sigma^-_j + \Omega \sum_j (\sigma^+_j + \sigma^-_j) \\
+ \frac{1}{\Delta_1} \left[2g_1^2(\sigma^+_j \sigma^-_1 - (g_1^2 + \Delta_1^2))a^\dagger_j a_1\right] \\
+ \frac{1}{\Delta_2} \left[2g_2^2(\sigma^+_j \sigma^-_1 + \sigma^+_2 \sigma^-_2 - (2g_2^2 + \Delta_2^2))a^\dagger_j a_2\right] \\
+ \frac{1}{\Delta_1} \left[2g_1^2(\sigma^+_j \sigma^-_2 + \sigma^+_3 \sigma^-_1 - (2g_1^2 + \Delta_1^2))a^\dagger_j a_3\right] \\
+ \frac{1}{\Delta_2} \left[2g_2^2(\sigma^+_2 \sigma^-_3 - (g_2^2 + \Delta_2^2))a^\dagger_j a_4\right] \\
\mp \frac{g_1^2}{\Delta_1} (\sigma^+_1 \sigma^-_2 + \sigma^+_2 \sigma^-_3) \mp \frac{g_2^2}{\Delta_2} (\sigma^+_2 \sigma^-_3 + \sigma^+_3 \sigma^-_2) \\
\mp \frac{g_1^2}{\Delta_1} \left(\sigma^+_1 \sigma^-_2 + \sigma^+_2 \sigma^-_3\right) + \frac{g_2^2}{\Delta_2} \left(\sigma^+_2 \sigma^-_3 + \sigma^+_3 \sigma^-_2\right) \\
+ \frac{g_1 g_2}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta_1} \frac{1}{\Delta_2} (a^\dagger_j a_2 e^{i(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)t} + \text{H.c.}) \\
+ \frac{g_1 g_2}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta_1} \frac{1}{\Delta_2} (a^\dagger_j a_3 e^{i(\Delta_2 - \Delta_1)t} + \text{H.c.}).
\]

This Hamiltonian can implement the ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic XY model if there are no photons initially present in the dynamics and if the condition \(|\Delta_1 - \Delta_2| \gg J_{12,23}\) is satisfied, where
\[
J_{12} = \frac{g_1 g_2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_1} + \frac{1}{\Delta_2}\right), \quad J_{23} = \frac{g_1 g_2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_1} + \frac{1}{\Delta_2}\right),
\]
and \(g_1^2 = g_1^2(\Delta_2/\Delta_1).\) In addition, the Stark shifts associated with each qubit can be suppressed by changing the qubit frequencies, which can be achieved by the application of an external flux on each transmon [78]. The extension to a large number of transmon qubits and cavities is straightforward.

We have performed numerical simulations starting from the Hamiltonian of Eq. (16) (ferromagnetic case) to test our approach and then compared them to the exact XY model. In Fig. 9 we depict the expectation value of the population \(n_j\) for each qubit \(j\), without including decay processes. The results show a quite good matching between the simulated ferromagnetic XY model (markers) and the exact model (solid, dashed and dot-dashed lines) if we change
\[
\Delta_c \rightarrow \Delta - \frac{g_1^2}{\Delta_1} - \frac{g_2^2}{\Delta_2},
\]
(19)
where \(\Delta\) is the simulated detuning that appears in Eq. (1).

C. Realistic parameter regime

The main features of the driven-dissipative many-body system appear in a well-defined range of system parameters. In terms of the decay rate of qubits \(\gamma\), the driving amplitude \(\Omega\) ranges from 0.1\(\gamma\) to 2\(\gamma\), the detuning \(\Delta\) ranges from \(-2\gamma\) to 10\(\gamma\), and the coherent tunneling rate \(J\) ranges from 0 to 10\(\gamma\). It is important to mention that in a realistic scenario the qubits experience relaxation and dephasing with typical coherence times of about \(T_1 \sim 1 \mu s\) and \(T_2 \sim 0.6 \mu s\) [80]. In the latter experiment, the qubits have frequencies \(\omega_i/2\pi = 6\text{ GHz}\)
±2 MHz, \( \omega_0/2\pi = 7 \text{ GHz} \pm 2 \text{ MHz} \), and \( \omega_c/2\pi = 8 \text{ GHz} \pm 2 \text{ MHz} \). The coherence time \( T_1 \) gives a relaxation rate \( \gamma_1 \approx 1 \text{ MHz} \), so \( \Omega \) ranges from 0.1 to 2 MHz. \( \Delta_c \) ranges from \(-2\) to 10 MHz, and \( J \) ranges from 0 to 10 MHz.

The above parameter regimes can be obtained with state-of-the-art circuit QED technology. The driving amplitude \( \Omega \) is limited by the cryostat cooling power, and it may range from 0 to \( 2\pi \times 0.7 \text{ GHz} \) [81]. The effective coherent tunneling rate \( J_{\text{eff}} \) can be tuned from zero to a maximal value if we consider a transmon with Purcell protection and tunable qubit-cavity coupling [82]. In addition, if the maximal qubit-cavity coupling is about \( g_1/2\pi \approx 100 \text{ MHz} \), the detuning \( \Delta_1 \) in Eq. (16) must be \( \Delta_1 \approx 2\pi \times 6 \text{ GHz} \) in order to reach \( J_{\text{eff}} = 10 \text{ MHz} \). This value of \( \Delta_1 \) is attainable since the resonator frequency \( \omega_0/2\pi \) ranges from 2 to 10 GHz.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In the present work we have discussed how a mean-field product ansatz, while being qualitatively successful in describing equilibrium settings, can lead to dubious physical conclusions for driven-dissipative nonequilibrium systems. In particular, we have shown that single-site mean-field calculations of the NESS of a coherently driven \( XY \) model with local dissipation predict bistable behavior. This is manifested in the existence of two different history-dependent values of a critical driving field detuning \( \Delta_j/\gamma \) at which a sharp shift between low- and high-density regimes occurs. However, when the dynamics of a one-dimensional lattice is simulated with a matrix-product description, which considers both classical and quantum correlations, the bistability disappears along with the sharp shift between the two different density states. Instead, the density profile becomes smooth, and a bunching-antibunching transition, which cannot be determined from a mean-field approach, emerges in the nearest-neighbor correlations.

Our findings highlight the challenges in describing nonequilibrium quantum lattice systems and motivate their quantum simulation, especially in higher spatial dimensions where accurate classical simulations are not currently feasible. With this in mind, we have elucidated a possible experimental realization of such a quantum simulator using transmon qubits in a circuit QED, which can be implemented using current technology.
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APPENDIX A: MEAN-FIELD APPROXIMATION FOR MASTER-EQUATION DYNAMICS

Here we present the mean-field approximation used to study the dynamics and NESS of the system. We describe the total time-dependent state of the system \( \rho(t) \) of \( N \) sites by means of the ansatz of Eq. (3), where the reduced density operator of site \( j \) is given by

\[
\rho_j(t) = \begin{pmatrix}
\rho_j^{11}(t) & \rho_j^{10}(t) \\
\rho_j^{01}(t) & \rho_j^{00}(t)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]  

Here \( \rho_j^{11}(t) \) corresponds to the population of the “up” state of site \( j \) at time \( t \), \( \rho_j^{00}(t) \) corresponds to the population of the “down” state, and \( \rho_j^{01}(t) \) and \( \rho_j^{10}(t) \) are the coherences. We insert Eq. (3) into the master equation describing the dynamics of the system, namely,

\[
\frac{d\rho_j}{dt} = -i[\mathcal{H}, \rho_j] + D(\rho_j),
\]

where \( \mathcal{H} \) is the total Hamiltonian and \( D(\rho) \) is the dissipative component. Tracing out all degrees of freedom except those of site \( j \) [which we denote by \( \text{Tr}(\ldots) \)], we obtain the equation for the reduced density operator of site \( j \),

\[
\frac{d\rho_j}{dt} = \text{Tr} \left( \frac{d\rho_j}{dt} \right) = -i[H_j^{\text{mf}}, \rho_j] + D(\rho_j),
\]

with the local mean-field Hamiltonian

\[
\mathcal{H}_j^{\text{mf}} = \Delta \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^- + \left[ \Omega - J \sum_k \langle \sigma_k^- \rangle \right] \sigma_j^+ + \Omega \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^- + \sum_k \langle \sigma_k^+ \rangle \sigma_j^-,
\]

with the sums performed over the nearest neighbors \( k \) of site \( j \), effective driving amplitudes \( \Omega_j \) as defined in Eq. (5), and local dissipator

\[
D(\rho_j) = \gamma \left( \sigma_j^- \rho_j \sigma_j^+ - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^- \rho_j - \frac{1}{2} \rho_j \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^- \right).
\]

This leads to a nonlinear set of equations for the components \( \rho_j^{ab}(t) \) of each local reduced density operator, which depend on expectation values of neighboring sites. Defining \( \tilde{\rho}_j = (\rho_j^{00}, \rho_j^{01}, \rho_j^{11}, \rho_j^{10})^T \), this set of equations is given by

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\rho}_j = L_j^{\text{mf}} \tilde{\rho}_j,
\]

\[
L_j^{\text{mf}} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & i\Omega_j & \gamma & -i\Omega_j^*

i\Omega_j^* & i\Delta - \frac{\gamma}{\Omega_j} & -i\Omega_j & 0

\gamma & -i\Omega_j & 0 & i\Omega_j^*

-i\Omega_j & 0 & -i\Omega_j & -\gamma
\end{pmatrix},
\]

The total evolution of the system is thus calculated by evaluating the evolution of each site during small time intervals of length \( \delta t \), using expectation values of the immediately
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previous time, namely,
\[
\tilde{\rho}_j(t + \delta t) = e^{iH_j(t)\delta t} \tilde{\rho}_j(t).
\] (A8)

Evolving for a very long time, until the disappearance of the transient dynamics, we obtained the mean-field NESS of the system discussed in Sec. III A.

**APPENDIX B: MEAN-FIELD APPROXIMATION FOR THE MONTE CARLO WAVE-FUNCTION METHOD**

As described in the main text, an alternative way to analyze the physics of driven-dissipative systems corresponds to the simulation of several independent stochastic trajectories, whose average in the long time limit gives the NESS.

Here we briefly mention a few points of the method in the mean-field limit, used in Sec. III B, to show the existence of bistability in the absence of quantum correlations. To stay in this limit we assume that at every time step the pure state of each trajectory is given by a product of the form in Eq. (7). It then follows that between the application of jump operators, the evolution of the full lattice for trajectory \( r \) can be performed by evolving each site separately at each time step, as
 \[
|\psi_j^{(r)}(t + \delta t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathcal{H}_j^{(r)}(t)\delta t} |\psi_j^{(r)}(t)\rangle,
\] (B1)

with the effective mean-field Hamiltonian
 \[
\mathcal{H}_j^{\text{eff}(r)}(t) = \mathcal{H}_j^{\text{mf}(r)}(t) - \frac{i}{2} [\sigma_j^+, \sigma_j^-],
\] (B2)

where the imaginary term results from the coupling of the lattice to the environment. We have explicitly pointed out the time and trajectory dependence of the effective Hamiltonian, coming from the dependence of \( \mathcal{H}_j^{\text{mf}} \) on neighboring expectation values, which are different for each trajectory due to the random application of jump operators across the time evolution.
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